

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION


DANIEL LLOYD CASADY,)	
)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
Petitioner,)	
)	3:05-CV-0274-G
VS.)	
)	CRIMINAL ACTION NO.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	3:03-CR-003-G
Respondent.)	

OPINION AND ORDER

After making an independent review of the pleadings, files and records in this case, and the findings, conclusions and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, I am of the opinion that the findings and conclusions of the magistrate judge are correct and they are adopted as the findings and conclusions of the court, except: (1) the citation sentence on the second line of page three should read "*Id.* at 690, 104 S.Ct. at 2066."; and (2) the citation sentence that begins on the fifth line of page three should read "*Id.* at 697, 104 S.Ct. at 2069."

It is, therefore, **ORDERED** that the findings, conclusions and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, except as modified above, are **ADOPTED**.

July 8, 2005.



A. JOE FISH
CHIEF JUDGE